arXiv:math/0209041v1 [math.OA] 4 Sep 2002

The Topological Version of Free Entropy

Dan Voiculescu*
Department of Mathematics
University of California at Berkeley
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
E-mail: dvv@math.berkeley.edu

Abstract

Notions of topological free entropy and of free capacity are introduced in the C^*-algebra context. Basic properties, basic problems and connections to potential theory and random matrix theory are discussed.

0 Introduction

The game of defining free entropy via microstates [8] can also be played with norm-microstates: instead of expectation values one approximates norms of polynomials. This leads to an invariant associated with n-tuples of elements of a C^*-algebra: the *topological free entropy*.

A competing notion is the *free capacity*, which we define as the supremum over trace-states of the free entropy. In the one-variable case there is a simple relation to the logarithmic capacity of potential theory [4].

We prove that the free capacity majorizes the topological free entropy and this leads to the obvious question about equality. In the two examples which we study, the free semicircular n-tuple and the universal n-tuple of self-adjoint contraction, the two quantities are equal.

Notions of free entropy dimension in C^*-algebras can also be defined either via a supremum over trace-states or directly from the norm-microstates. In the latter case, instead of adapting our initial definition [8], which was inspired by the Minkowski content, we found it more convenient to use the recent simpler approach of Jung [3].

We began exploring topological free entropy a few years ago, but abandoned it soon after facing the difficulties of the semicircular n-tuple example. The impetus to return to this work was provided by the recent breakthrough of U. Haagerup and S. Thorbjornsen [2] on random

---

*Supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0079945.

matrices, which also gave what was essentially needed to answer the semicircular question. There is good reason now, we believe, to expect that topological free entropy will be useful in the C^*-algebra context and that progress will be made on the problems we discuss in this note.

1 Free Capacity

Let A be a unital C^*-algebra generated by $n+m$ self-adjoint elements $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_m$. Let further $TS(A)$ denote the trace-states of A. Given $\tau \in TS(A)$ an element $a \in A$ gives rise to a noncommutative random variable in the tracial C^*-probability space (A, τ), which we shall denote by $a(\tau)$. The free entropy $\chi(a_1(\tau), \ldots, a_n(\tau) : b_1(\tau), \ldots, b_m(\tau))$ of the $a_i(\tau)$'s in the presence of the $b_j(\tau)$'s [9] will also be denoted $\chi(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m; \tau)$ (in case $m = 0$ we shall write $\chi(a_1, \ldots, a_n; \tau)$).

We define the *free capacity* $\kappa(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ *of* $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ *in the presence of* $b_1, \ldots, b_m$ *by*:

$$\kappa(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m) = \sup_{\tau \in TS(A)} \chi(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m; \tau).$$

Because of Corollary 1.8 in [9] $\kappa(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ does not depend on the choice of $b_1, \ldots, b_m$ as long as A is generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_m$. Hence it is also appropriate to use *the notation* $\kappa(a_1, \ldots, a_n : A)$ *for* $\kappa(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m)$. *If* A *is already generated by* $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ *we shall write simply* $\kappa(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ *for* $\kappa(a_1, \ldots, a_n : A)$ *and call it the free capacity of* $a_1, \ldots, a_n$.

In case $n = 1$, up to sign and to the additive constant $\theta = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi$ the free entropy is the logarithmic energy of the distribution. Therefore if $\sigma(a)$ is the spectrum of $a = a^*$, we have

$$e^{\kappa(a) - \theta} = \mathrm{cap}(\sigma(a))$$

where $\mathrm{cap}(\cdot)$ denotes the logarithmic capacity ([4]).

We chose to call $\kappa(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, instead of its exponential, the free capacity, because from an information theory point of view, it is the largest quantity of "free information" which can be realized by $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. However, as shown for instance by the free analogue of the entropy-power inequality, [7], exponentials of free entropies are also quantities of interest.

In view of the upper semicontinuity of the free entropy with respect to convergence in distribution and compactness of $TS(A)$ we infer that:

$$\kappa(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m) = \chi(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m; \tau)$$

for some $\tau \in TS(A)$ (actually an extremal trace-state in view of [9]).

Also, among the properties of $\kappa(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ which follow easily from those of χ we note two more. Subadditivity of κ holds

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(a_1,\ldots,a_n,b_1,\ldots,b_m) &\leq \kappa(a_1,\ldots,a_n:b_1,\ldots,b_m)+\kappa(b_1,\ldots,b_m:a_1,\ldots,a_n)\\ &\leq \kappa(a_1,\ldots,a_n)+\kappa(b_1,\ldots,b_m).\end{aligned}$$

For a change of variables given by noncommutative power series satisfying all the conditions of Proposition 3.5 in [8], we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(F_1(a_1,\ldots,a_n),\ldots,F_n(a_1,\ldots,a_n)) &\leq \kappa(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\\ &\quad+\sup_{\tau\in TS(A)}\log|\mathcal{J}|((F_1,\ldots,F_n))(a_1(\tau),\ldots,a_n(\tau)).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, a remark which applies not only to free capacity, but also to the other constructs in this note. The appropriate C^*-algebras for these considerations are those subject to finiteness conditions, such as having sufficiently many trace-states. Of course, given an arbitrary unital C^*-algebra, we can always factor by the two-sided ideal on which all trace-states vanish and work in the quotient.

2 Topological Free Entropy

Let $A,a_1,\ldots,a_n,b_1,\ldots,b_m$ be like in the preceding section. Let further

$$P_1,\ldots,P_r\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\ldots,X_n,Y_1,\ldots,Y_m\rangle$$

be polynomials in the noncommutative indeterminates $X_1,\ldots,X_n,Y_1,\ldots,Y_m$ and let $\varepsilon>0$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$. We define the *norm-microstates (or topological microstates)*

$$\Gamma_{\text{top}}(a_1,\ldots,a_n,b_1,\ldots,b_m;k,\varepsilon,P_1,\ldots,P_r)$$

to be $n+m$-tuples of self-adjoint $k\times k$ matrices $(C_1,\ldots,C_n,D_1,\ldots,D_m)\in(\mathfrak{M}_k^{sa})^{n+m}$ so that

$$\begin{gathered}|\|P_j(C_1,\ldots,C_n,D_1,\ldots,D_m)\|-\|P_j(a_1,\ldots,a_n,b_1,\ldots,b_m)\||\leq\varepsilon\\ 1\leq j\leq r.\end{gathered}$$

We define the norm-microstates for $a_1,\ldots,a_n$ in the presence of $b_1,\ldots,b_m$, denoted

$$\Gamma_{\text{top}}(a_1,\ldots,a_n:b_1,\ldots,b_m;k,\varepsilon,P_1,\ldots,P_r)$$

to be the projection via

$$(C_1,\ldots,C_n,D_1,\ldots,D_m)\to(C_1,\ldots,C_n)$$

of

$$\Gamma_{\text{top}}(a_1,\dots,a_n,b_1,\dots,b_m;k,\varepsilon,P_1,\dots,P_r)$$

into $(\mathfrak{M}_k^{sa})^n$.

With this change of microstates, the definition of the *topological free entropy* χ_{top} proceeds exactly like the definition of χ. We take

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\left(k^{-2}\log\operatorname{vol}\Gamma_{\text{top}}(\dots)+\frac{n}{2}\log k\right)$$

followed by

$$\inf_{\varepsilon>0}\inf_{r\in\mathbb{N}}\inf_{P_1,\dots,P_r\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_n,Y_1,\dots,Y_m\rangle}.$$

We call the resulting $\chi_{\text{top}}(a_1,\dots,a_n:b_1,\dots,b_m)$ *the topological free entropy of* $a_1,\dots,a_n$ *in the presence of* $b_1,\dots,b_m$*. In case* $m=0$ *we write* $\chi_{\text{top}}(a_1,\dots,a_n)$ *and call it the topological free entropy of* $a_1,\dots,a_n$*.*

Several basic properties of χ_{top} are proved along similar lines to properties of χ and we leave the details to the reader.

Subadditivity

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\text{top}}(a_1,\dots,a_n,b_1,\dots,b_m) &\le \chi_{\text{top}}(a_1,\dots,a_n:b_1,\dots,b_m)\\ &\quad+\chi_{\text{top}}(b_1,\dots,b_m:a_1,\dots,a_n)\\ &\le \chi_{\text{top}}(a_1,\dots,a_n)+\chi_{\text{top}}(b_1,\dots,b_m).\end{aligned}$$

Upper Semicontinuity

Assume $a_1^{(p)},\dots,a_n^{(p)}\in A_p$ converge in "norm-distribution" to $a_1,\dots,a_n\in A$ in the sense, that for every noncommutative polynomial $P\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_n\rangle$ we have

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\|P(a_1^{(p)},\dots,a_n^{(p)})\|=\|P(a_1,\dots,a_n)\|.$$

Then we have

$$\limsup_{p\to\infty}\chi_{\text{top}}(a_1^{(p)},\dots,a_n^{(p)})\le\chi_{\text{top}}(a_1,\dots,a_n).$$

Change of Variables

Assume there are noncommutative power series $F_1,\dots,F_n,G_1,\dots,G_n$ satisfying the complete set of conditions in Proposition 3.5 of [8]. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\text{top}}(F_1(a_1,\dots,a_n),\dots,F_n(a_1,\dots,a_n)) &\le \chi_{\text{top}}(a_1,\dots,a_n)\\ &\quad+n\log\|\tilde{D}F(a_1,\dots,a_n)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{D}F(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathfrak{M}_n \otimes A \mathbin{\bar{\otimes}} A^{\mathrm{op}}$ where the tensor product is the spatial tensor product. To define $\tilde{D}F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ one first notices that in a formal sense the differential of F as power-series is a $n \times n$ matrix of partial free difference quotients and that, under the assumptions on multiradii of convergence in Proposition 3.5 of [8], this differential, after replacing the indeterminates by $a_1, \dots, a_n$ gives rise to an element in the projective tensor product $\mathfrak{M}_n \otimes A \otimes_\pi A^{\mathrm{op}}$. Clearly this element gives then rise to an element in $\mathfrak{M}_n \otimes A \mathbin{\bar{\otimes}} A^{\mathrm{op}}$. The proof proceeds along the same lines as the proof of Proposition 3.5 in [8].

3 The Inequality $\chi_{\mathrm{top}} \leq \kappa$

Under the same assumptions about $A, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m$ as in the previous sections, we shall prove here that

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m) \leq \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m).$$

If $\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m) = -\infty$ there is nothing to prove. Assume

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m) > \alpha > -\infty.$$

We will show that $\kappa(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m) > \alpha$.

Let $\mathbb{Q}[i]\langle X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m \rangle$ be the noncommutative polynomials with rational complex coefficients, which being a countable set can be put in a sequence $P_1, P_2, \dots$. It will be also convenient to assume $P_1, \dots, P_{m+n}$ are precisely $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m$.

It is easy to see that

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m) > \alpha$$

is equivalent to the existence of integers $m + n < k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ so that for some $\alpha' > \alpha$

$$k_r^{-2} \log \mathrm{vol}\ \Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m; P_1, \dots, P_r, k_r, r^{-1}) + \frac{n}{2} \log k_r > \alpha'.$$

Note that if

$$\eta = (C_1, \dots, C_n, D_1, \dots, D_m) \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m; P_1, \dots, P_r, k_r, r^{-1}) = \Gamma(r)$$

then $\|C_k\| < M$, $\|D_j\| < M$ for some M independent of r and of the choice of microstate. Let then $A(n+m)$ be the universal unital C^*-algebra generated by self-adjoint elements $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$ of norm M, that is the unital full free product of $n + m$ copies of $C([-M, M])$. A microstate $\eta \in \Gamma(r)$ as above defines a $*$-homomorphism $\varphi[\eta] : A(n+m) \to \mathfrak{M}_{k_r}$ so that $\varphi[\eta](x_k) = C_k$, $\varphi[\eta](y_j) = D_j$ and a trace-state $\tau[\eta] \in TS(A(n+m))$

$$\tau[\eta] = k_r^{-1} Tr_{k_r} \circ \varphi[\eta].$$

Similarly there is a $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : A(n+m) \to A$ so that $\varphi(x_k) = a_k$, $\varphi(y_j) = b_j$.

On $\Omega = TS(A(n+m))$ the weak topology is induced by the metric

$$d(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \sum_{p \geq 1} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in (\{1, \dots, n+m\})^p} (2M(n+m))^{-p} |(\tau_1 - \tau_2)(z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_p})|$$

where $z_1, \dots, z_{n+m}$ denotes $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$. Ω is a compact metric space and

$$K_r = \{\tau[\eta] \in \Omega \mid \eta \in \Gamma(r)\}$$

are compact subsets because $\eta \to \tau[\eta]$ is continuous and $\Gamma(r)$ is compact. Let further $K \subset \Omega$ denote the compact subset $(TS(A)) \circ \varphi$.

If $\tau \in \Omega$ is the weak limit of some sequence $(\tau[\eta_{r_s}])_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $r_1 < r_2 < \dots$ and $\tau[\eta_{r_s}] \in K_{r_s}$, then $\tau \in K$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(P_j(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m))| &\leq \limsup_{s \to \infty} \|\varphi[\eta_{r_s}](P_j(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m))\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{s \to \infty} (r_s^{-1} + \|P_j(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m)\|) \\ &\leq \|P_j(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m)\| \\ &= \|\varphi(P_j(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m))\| \end{aligned}$$

which in view of the density of the P_j's in $A(n+m)$ gives $\tau \in K$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, in view of compactness of Ω there is $L(\varepsilon) > 0$ so that for each r, $K_r = K_r^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup K_r^{(L(\varepsilon))}$ where each compact set $K_r^{(j)}$ has diameter $\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let

$$\Gamma(r, j) = \{\eta \in \Gamma(r) \mid \tau[\eta] \in K_r^{(j)}\}.$$

We have $\Gamma(r) = \Gamma(r, 1) \cup \dots \cup \Gamma(r, L(\varepsilon))$. Let further

$$\pi_r : \Gamma(r) \to \Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m; P_1, \dots, P_r, k_r, r^{-1})$$

denote the projection mapping $(C_1, \dots, C_n, D_1, \dots, D_m)$ to $(C_1, \dots, C_n)$. For each r let $\Gamma'(r)$ denote some $\pi_r(\Gamma(r, j))$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}(\pi_r(\Gamma(r, j))) \geq (L(\varepsilon))^{-1} \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m; P_1, \dots, P_r, k_r, r^{-1})).$$

Since $k_r \to \infty$ we will clearly have

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \left(k_r^{-2} \log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma'(r)) + \frac{n}{2} \log k_r \right) \geq \alpha'.$$

Giving ε successively the values $1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots$ and using the fact that accumulation points of the sequence of sets K_r are in K we find there is $\tau \in K$ and there are $r_1 < r_2 < \dots$

such that the chosen set $K_{r_s}^{(j_s)} \subset K_{r_s}$ for $\varepsilon = (2s)^{-1}$ is $\subset B(\tau, s^{-1})$ the ball of radius s^{-1} and center τ and the corresponding set $\Gamma'(r_s)$ is such that

$$\liminf_{s\to\infty} \left(k_{r_s}^{-2} \log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma'(r_s)) + \frac{n}{2} \log k_{r_s} \right) \geq \alpha'.$$

Since $K_{r_s}^{(j_s)} \subset B(\tau, s^{-1})$ we will have

$$\Gamma'(r_s) \subset \Gamma_M(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m; k_{r_s}, m_s, \varepsilon_s; \tau)$$

for some $m_s \to \infty$ and $\varepsilon_s \to 0$. Clearly this implies

$$\chi(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m; \tau) \geq \alpha'$$

and hence

$$\kappa(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m) > \alpha.$$

4 Semicircular Systems

Let A be the C^*-algebra generated by a semicircular system $S_1, \dots, S_n$ consisting of n freely independent $(0,1)$ semicircular random variables in some W^*-probability space (M, τ) with a faithful trace-state. By a recent result of U. Haagerup and S. Thorbjornsen [2] for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and noncommutative polynomials in n indeterminates $P_1, \dots, P_r$ we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \gamma_k(\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(S_1, \dots, S_n; P_1, \dots, P_r, k, \varepsilon)) = 1$$

where γ_k is the Gaussian probability measure on $(\mathfrak{M}_k^{sa})^n$ with density

$$c_k \exp\left(-\frac{k}{2} Tr(A_1^2 + \cdots + A_n^2) \right)$$

where c_k is a normalizing constant. By Proposition 3.1 of [1], A has the Dixmier property hence $[A, A] + \mathbb{C}1$ is norm-dense in A. In particular there are polynomials

$$Q_{1,j}, \dots, Q_{s,j}, R_{1,j}, \dots, R_{s,j}$$

such that for a given $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\left\| S_j^2 - 1 - \sum_{1\leq i\leq s} [Q_{ij}(S_1, \dots, S_n), R_{ij}(S_1, \dots, S_n)] \right\| < \delta/2.$$

Thus for an appropriate choice of polynomials $P_1, \ldots, P_r$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we will have for all k

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(k) &= \Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(S_1, \ldots, S_n; P_1, \ldots, P_r, k, \varepsilon) \\ &\subset \{(A_j)_{1 \le j \le n} \in (\mathfrak{M}_k^{sa})^n \mid k^{-1} Tr_k A_j^2 < 1 + \delta, 1 \le j \le n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\gamma_k(\Gamma(k)) \le c_k \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{2}(1+\delta)^2 n\right) \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma(k)).$$

This gives

$$k^{-2} \log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma(k)) + \frac{n}{2} \log k \ge k^{-2} \log \gamma_k(\Gamma(k)) - k^{-2} \log c_k + \frac{n}{2} \log k - \frac{n}{2}(1+\delta)^2.$$

Since $\gamma_k(\Gamma(k)) \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$ and all this holds also after decreasing $\varepsilon > 0$ and enlarging the set of polynomials, we infer that

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} \left(\frac{n}{2} \log k - k^{-2} \log c_k - \frac{n}{2}(1+\delta)^2\right).$$

Since $c_k = (2\pi)^{-nk^2/2}(k)^{nk^2/2}$ this gives

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \ge \frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2}(1+\delta)^2.$$

Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary we have that

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \ge \frac{n}{2}(\log 2\pi - 1).$$

The right-hand side is precisely $\chi(S_1, \ldots, S_n; \tau)$. So we have

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \ge \chi(S_1, \ldots, S_n; \tau).$$

On the other hand since A has a unique trace-state

$$\chi(S_1, \ldots, S_n; \tau) = \kappa(S_1, \ldots, S_n).$$

Using the result in the preceding section, we have

Fact.

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(S_1, \ldots, S_n) = \kappa(S_1, \ldots, S_n) = \chi(S_1, \ldots, S_n).$$

5 The Universal n-tuple of Self-adjoint Contractions

In this section we prove

$$\chi_{\text{top}}(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \kappa(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

for the universal n-tuple of self-adjoint contractions $T_1, \dots, T_n$.

Let A denote the unital C^*-algebra generated by $T_1, \dots, T_n$ or equivalently the unital full free product of n copies of $C([-1,1])$ with T_j identified with the identical function in the j-th copy of $C([-1,1])$. A has the property that given a n-tuple of self-adjoint contractions $x_1, \dots, x_n$ in some unital C^*-algebra B, there is a unique unital $*$-homomorphism of A into B mapping T_j to x_j $(1 \le j \le n)$.

Let $\tau(n)$ be the trace-state on A which is the free product of n copies of the trace-state $\tau(1)$ on $C([-1,1])$ which is given by the equilibrium measure on $[-1,1]$, that is the arcsine distribution with density $\pi^{-1}(1-x^2)^{-1/2}$ ([4]). We have

$$\kappa(T_1, \dots, T_n) \le n\kappa(T_1) = n\chi(T_1; \tau(1)) = \chi(T_1, \dots, T_n; \tau(n)).$$

The C^*-algebra A has sufficiently many finite-dimensional representations. This implies that given $\varepsilon > 0$ and noncommutative polynomials $P_1, \dots, P_r$ we have

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{\text{top}}(T_1, \dots, T_n; k_0, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r)) \neq 0$$

for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is easily seen that if $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small then for any self-adjoint elements $x_1, \dots, x_n$ in a unital C^*-algebra so that $\|x_j\| \le 1 + \delta$ we will have $\|P_i(x_1, \dots, x_r)\| < \|P_i(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| + \varepsilon$ $(1 \le i \le r)$. In particular using the usual microstates

$$\Gamma_{1+\delta}(T_1, \dots, T_n; k, m, \varepsilon; \tau(n))$$

for $T_1, \dots, T_n$ in $(A, \tau(n))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{top}}(T_1, \dots, T_n; k_0, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r) &\oplus \Gamma_{1+\delta}(T_1, \dots, T_n; k, m, \varepsilon; \tau(n)) \\ &\subset \Gamma_{\text{top}}(T_1, \dots, T_n; k_0 + k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r) \end{aligned}$$

(the choice of parameters m, ε and $\tau(n)$ in $\Gamma_{1+\delta}$ is completely arbitrary). This inclusion immediately yields

$$\chi_{\text{top}}(T_1, \dots, T_n) \ge \chi(T_1, \dots, T_n; \tau(n)).$$

Putting things together *we conclude that we have proved*

$$\chi_{\text{top}}(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \kappa(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \chi(T_1, \dots, T_n; \tau(n))$$

where $T_1, \dots, T_n$ *is the universal* n*-tuple of self-adjoint contradiction, and* $\tau(n)$ *the* n*-fold free product of the equilibrium measure on* $[-1,1]$.

6 Topological Free Entropy Dimension

If X is a subset of a metric space we denote by $N_\varepsilon(X)$ the minimum number of elements in an ε-net of X. The sets of norm-microstates $\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(\dots)$ will be viewed as subsets of $(\mathfrak{M}_k)^n$ endowed with the uniform norm metric. If $A, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m$ are as in section 2, then we define

$$D_\varepsilon(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m)$$

by taking

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-2} \log N_\varepsilon(\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r))$$

followed by an inf over $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and finite sets $\{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$ of noncommutative polynomials. Then the *topological free entropy dimension of* $a_1, \dots, a_n$ *in the presence of* $b_1, \dots, b_m$ *is defined by*

$$\delta_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m) = \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{D_\varepsilon(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m)}{|\log \varepsilon|}.$$

Note that if we had used the normalized Hilbert–Schmidt norm

$$|(A_1, \dots, A_n)|_2 = k^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{1\le j\le n} Tr_k(A_j^2) \right)^{1/2}$$

instead of the uniform norm, we would have obtained the same quantity. Indeed, a basic fact about N_ε for unitary-invariant norms ([6] combined with Corollary 8 of [5]) is that if $B(k, R)$ denotes the ball of radius R of $\mathfrak{M}_k^{sa}$ in uniform norm, then taking N_ε in uniform or normalized Hilbert–Schmidt norm we will have

$$(C_1 R/\varepsilon)^{k^2} \le N_\varepsilon(B(k, R)) \le (C_2 R/\varepsilon)^{k^2}$$

for some constants independent of k and $\varepsilon \le R$.

Because of the upper bound for $N_\varepsilon(B(k, R))$ we always have

$$\delta_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m) \le n.$$

To get δ_{top} for some n-tuples it is sufficient to remark the following fact: *if* $\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m) > -\infty$ *then*

$$\delta_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \dots, a_n : b_1, \dots, b_m) = n.$$

Indeed, we have

$$N_\varepsilon(\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(\dots)) \,\mathrm{vol}\ B^n(k, 2\varepsilon) \ge \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(\dots))$$

and by Corollary 4 of [5] we have

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} \left(\frac{n}{2} \log k + k^{-2} \log \mathrm{vol}(B^n(k, 1)) \right) > -\infty$$

which gives

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty}\left(\frac{n}{2}\log k + k^{-2}\log\mathrm{vol}(B^n(k,2\varepsilon))\right)\geq C + n\log\varepsilon.$$

We infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-2}\log N_\varepsilon(\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(\dots)) \quad &\geq \quad C + n|\log\varepsilon| \\ &\qquad + \limsup_{k\to\infty}\left(k^{-2}\log\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(\cdots)) + \frac{n}{2}\log k\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. This in turn immediately gives $\delta_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1,\dots,a_n : b_1,\dots,b_m) = n$ if $\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1,\dots,a_n : b_1,\dots,b_m) > -\infty$.

It follows that *if $S_1,\dots,S_n$ is the semicircular n-tuple then*

$$\delta_{\mathrm{top}}(S_1,\dots,S_n) = n$$

and similarly *if $T_1,\dots,T_n$ is the universal n-tuple of self-adjoint contractions then*

$$\delta_{\mathrm{top}}(T_1,\dots,T_n) = n.$$

A quantity with which δ_{top} should be compared is the *free dimension capacity*

$$\kappa\delta(a_1,\dots,a_n) = \sup_{\tau\in TS(A)}\delta_0(a_1,\dots,a_n;\tau)$$

where $a_1,\dots,a_n$ is a self-adjoint generator of A and $\delta_0(a_1,\dots,a_n;\tau)$ denotes the modified free-entropy dimension of $a_1,\dots,a_n$ in (A,τ). Clearly $\kappa\delta(S_1,\dots,S_n) = \kappa\delta(T_1,\dots,T_n) = n$ by [9].

7 Concluding Remarks

7.1 Semi-microstates

A natural modification of the definition of Γ_{top} is to consider *norm-semi-microstates*, that is

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}\,1/2}(a_1,\dots,a_n : b_1,\dots,b_m; k,\varepsilon,P_1,\dots,P_r)$$

with the inequalities

$$|\|P_j(a_1,\dots,a_n,b_1,\dots,b_m)\| - \|P_j(A_1,\dots,A_n,B_1,\dots,B_m)\|| \leq \varepsilon$$

replaced by

$$\|P_j(A_1,\dots,A_n,B_1,\dots,B_m)\| \leq \|P_j(a_1,\dots,a_n,b_1,\dots,b_m)\| + \varepsilon.$$

The corresponding quantity $\chi_{\mathrm{top}\,1/2}$ clearly satisfies $\chi_{\mathrm{top}\,1/2} \geq \chi_{\mathrm{top}}$. If for every $P_1, \ldots, P_r, \varepsilon > 0$ there is some k_0 so that

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m; k_0, \varepsilon, P_1, \ldots, P_r) \neq \emptyset$$

then actually

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}\,1/2} = \chi_{\mathrm{top}}.$$

Indeed, with $\oplus$ denoting componentwise orthogonal sums of operators, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m; k_0, \varepsilon, P_1, \ldots, P_r) &\oplus \Gamma_{\mathrm{top}\,1/2}(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \ldots, P_r) \\ &\subset \Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(a_1, \ldots, a_n : b_1, \ldots, b_m; k + k_0, \varepsilon, P_1, \ldots, P_r).\end{aligned}$$

7.2 Orthogonal Sums

Let $\alpha' = (a'_1, \ldots, a'_n)$, $\alpha'' = (b'_1, \ldots, b'_m)$, $\alpha' \oplus \alpha'' = (a'_1 \oplus a''_1, \ldots, a'_n \oplus a''_n)$, $\beta' = (b'_1, \ldots, b'_m)$ etc. It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha' : \beta'; k', \varepsilon, P_1, \ldots, P_r) &\oplus \Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha'' : \beta''; k'', \varepsilon, P_1, \ldots, P_r) \\ &\subset \Gamma_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha' \oplus \alpha'' : \beta' \oplus \beta''; k' + k'', \varepsilon, P_1, \ldots, P_r)\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha' \oplus \alpha'' : \beta' \oplus \beta'') \geq \max(\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha' : \beta'), \chi_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha'' : \beta'')).$$

On the other hand it is easily seen that

$$\kappa(\alpha' \oplus \alpha'' : \beta' \oplus \beta'') = \max(\kappa(\alpha' : \beta'), \kappa(\alpha'' : \beta'')),$$

since the extremal trace-states of $C^*(\alpha' \oplus \alpha'', \beta' \oplus \beta'')$ are either trace-states of $C^*(\alpha', \beta')$ or of $C^*(\alpha'', \beta'')$.

Therefore a test for the general question about the equality of χ_{top} and κ is whether we actually have

$$\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha' \oplus \alpha'' : \beta' \oplus \beta'') = \max(\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha' : \beta'), \chi_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha'' : \beta'')).$$

7.3 Free Equilibrium Trace-States

In classical potential theory, the probability measures minimizing the logarithmic energy are the equilibrium measures. Generalizing this from the one-variable case, we arrive at the question of finding those $\tau \in TS(A)$ for which $\kappa(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \chi(a_1, \ldots, a_n; \tau)$ (the generator $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ of A is given).

Both trying to find general facts about such τ, as well as finding such τ for specific $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ seem to be questions which deserve some attention.

7.4 Topological Free Entropy Dimension of Generators

By analogy with the von Neumann algebra case, it seems natural to ask whether the topological free entropy dimension of a generator is an invariant of the C^*-algebra; i.e., if $a'_1, \ldots, a'_n$ and $a''_1, \ldots, a''_m$ are self-adjoint generators of the C^*-algebra A, does it follow that $\delta_{\text{top}}(a'_1, \ldots, a'_n)$ and $\delta_{\text{top}}(a''_1, \ldots, a''_m)$ are equal?

References

[1] Avitzour, A., *Free products of C^*-algebras*, Trans. AMS Vol. 271 (1982), 423–435.

[2] Haagerup, U., and Thorbjornsen, S., *A new application of random matrices:* $\mathrm{Ext}(C^*_r(F_2))$ *is not a group* (in preparation).

[3] Jung, Kenley, *A free entropy dimension lemma*, preprint, June 2002.

[4] Saff, E. B., and Totik, V., "Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields," Grundlehren der mathematischen Wirrenschaften, Springer Verlag, 1997.

[5] Saint–Raymond, J., *Le volume des idéaux d'opérateurs classiques*, Studia Math. **80** (1984), 63–75.

[6] Szarek, S. J., *Metric entropy of homogeneous spaces*, Quantum Probability (Gdansk 1997), Banach Center Publications, Vol. 43, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw (1998), 395–410.

[7] Szarek, S. J., and Voiculescu, D., *Volumes of restricted Minkowski sums and the free analogue of the entropy power inequality*, Comm. Math. Phys. **178** (1996), 563–570.

[8] Voiculescu, D., *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory II*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), 411–440.

[9] Voiculescu, D., *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory III: The absence of Cartan subalgebras*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), 172–199.

[10] Voiculescu, D., *Free entropy*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **34** (2002) 257–278.

[11] Voiculescu, D., Dykema, K. J., and Nica, A., "Free Random Variables," CRM Monograph Series 1 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI), 1992.